Document e-file refce: "1535 Crick, court roll, Easter, SJC Mun VII-79-1.doc"

[Transcriber's notes:

- 1. Modern punctuation and paragraphing has been added to aid interpretation, but spelling and capitalisation is preserved as it was originally written.
- 2. Words shown in rounded parentheses, thus (), are unclear in the original; wholly or partially indecipherable text is shown thus (....).
- 3. Remarks by the transcriber are shown in square parentheses, thus [].
- 4. Actual transcribed text is shown enclosed by quotation marks, thus "".]

"Creke Pasche xxvj r[egni] R[egis] H[enric]i viij

Vis[us] ff[ra]nc[ipledgii] tente ib[ibe]m die Marcii (xv?) ante diem (Passe...) Ao (rR?) H[enry] viij xxvjth

Cur[ia] (jugius?)	Rico Banberre Ro Antony Donkley A	ohes Mylles obt Donkley mbrose Jones homas Hankokys	Robt Faux Johes Atkyns Johes Capell Henry Mylles	Ricd West)))Jur)	
ffnc~	Ricd Browne, Johes Henton & Johes Mawlby Willmus Hyde, Ricd Kylworth & Henry Donkley ffnc i[bide]m (pro?) Willm Ffeldyng (miles?) Johem Smyth Ricus Garrard					
	Item presente Johem Baker de Braniston ser~ Item, Johanne Cowell (ijd), Margeria Kylworth (ijd), Margareta Rookby (ijd) Elizabeth Basyng (ijd) & Johana Pynche (ijd), Bras[iatores] Item Thos Marion p ex[cessum] tol~					
ext[ra]hur rem~	Item p~p~ due oves bad () ut ext[ra]hur~ sect~ (sub?) (agtept?) et (oves?) ()					
Homagem	Q[uide]m sup[ra] dic[tu] () (curie?) ultime dictu q[uo?]d Ricus Brown (for[isfecit]? pena? ovium? viz?) xld (ult[ra]?) () (pena for[isfecit]?) Item Robt Pynchet (for[isfecit]?) pena (domum? viz?) iiijd					
Pe~	Item q[uo]d Ricus Bro penticost sub pena xld	owne amoneat fin~ et deinceps non iac				
Pe~	Item (Georgis?) Coll () (ore) fin~ ext[ra?] via regie ac fes[tum] pentic[ost] p[ena] xld & sic postea non moveat ad via sub pena xld					
Pe~	Item q[uo?]d Thomas Milles ho~ non ligolt eques et equas anim[alis] infra pastures bestialem & ford (Wyly?) furlong (ins) (parnell?) ffyrres deinceps, pena xld					
Pe~	Item q[uo]d q[uide]m ha[bea]t leyse iacet (their?) leyse p a be[a]st pastur[e]s infra be[a]st pasturis pe~ xxd					
Pe~	Et q[uo]d every man to come at by (cobyx?) this saynt Georges day to marke his leyse that the leyse be kno[w]n, payn xijd					
Pe~	Q[uo]d thatt Woodwey dyke of every man scowre the dyke be [ie by] a weeke aft[er] lowsunde [ie Low Sunday] any rode, iiijd					
Pe~	Q[uo]d t[ha]t no man shall kepe shepe in no corn feld afowre saynt Mark's day, payn xld					
Pe~	Q[uo] d t[ha]t no man	tye horses mares f	olles in ye why	t corn feld me	edatly	

	aft[er] Lammas payn xijd
Pe~	Q[uo]d t[ha]t any man tye his owen grasse in ye cornfeld, not hurtyng his Neyghbur till harvest be yn, pe~ xijd
Pe~	Q[uo] d no man dryfe his cart up lands & furrosse for (gettyng?) the tyth & rakings, pe~ xijd (caret away?)
Pe~	Q[uo]d t[ha]t any man havyng stranger's shepe to avoyde them be [ie by] Low Sunday owt of ye (felds?), pe~ xxd
Pe~	Q[uo]d t[ha]t every man have sufficiaunt swyn stysse to sty up thyr swyn a nyghtys (to?) Holy Thursday, pe~ xxd
Pe~	Q[uo]d every man tye up ther beche or beshys [ie bitch or bitches?] duryng the (sawte?) tyms, pe~ xijd
Pe~	Q[uo]d t[ha]t every man mows his grasse in whyte cornfeld first upayn of xijd

Cur[ia]			
Expens baylif be~ ()	iiijd		
In regards xij~ jur	xvjd		
()	(ijd?)	extit~	ffp"

[NB: This court roll is especially valuable, in that it clearly spells out (in plain English, moreover, so that no-one could plead ignorance of the rules) a number of the customs and practices of the manor, and the penalties or fines that were levied for failing to keep the customs. Presumably there had been growing number of infractions, so that it was deemed necessary to repeat the rules very clearly.

A number of the fines were of significant value: for example, 40d (ie 3s-4d = 1 noble) being roughly equivalent to 5-10% of the annual rent of a typical middling husbandman; to put this into perspective, if we equate this rent to a modern-day 100% mortgage on a house of value (say) £180,000, the annual repayments would be about £20,000, and the above 40d fines would equate to about £1000-2000 each!

It is also helpful to compare this list of manorial regulations with the statement of tithing customs that was written out formally in 1538, just 3 years later (see document reference "1538 Crick, tithe customs, SJC Mun VII-92.doc"); in that separate document, Banbury is granted 1 qtrn of Hall land, and Rugby 10 beasts, for their services (as manorial ploughmen?); land named in the tithe customnal includes Ashmores/Ackmore, Dam furlong, Barley Croft, Northwich, and Woodway (cf "Woodwey dyke" above); distinction is made between "running pastures" and "the great pasture", the "little meadow and pasture on Rudhill" and "great meadow on Dam furlong".]